BRUHAT BENGALURU MAHANAGARA PALIKE

Office of the
Deputy Conservator of Forests,
Bruhat Bengaluru Mahanagara Palike
N.R Square, Bangalore

No: DCF/PR.2190 /2024-25 Date: 12.03.2025

To,

The Medical Director,
KC General Hospital,
Malleshwaram, Bengaluru.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM

Sub: Regarding the permission for Retention, Translocation and Removal of trees which are standing at the premises of KC General Project Area for Construction of New Buildings namely Mother and Children Hospital, Academic Building, Mortuary Building, Modernised Kitchen, Laundry and Biomedical Waste Building and others, at Malleshwaram, Bengaluru– reg

Ref: a) **ಕಿಃಜೆಆ:ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ/26/2024–25 ದಿನಾಂಕ 19.10.2024**

b) Member Secretary, TEC and ACF Letter No. ACF/PR.108/2024-25 dtd 11.03.2025 along with Report and related documents of Tree Expert Committee

* * * * *

1. The Medical Director, KC General Hospital, Malleshwaram, Bengaluru had submitted application under Sections 8 (2) and 8 (3) (vii) of Karnataka Preservation of Trees Act, 1976 regarding removal of 103 trees for 'Construction of New Buildings namely Mother and Children Hospital, Academic Building, Mortuary Building, Modernised Kitchen, Laundry and Biomedical Waste Building and others, Malleshwaram, Bengaluru.

Further as per the Medical Director, KC General Hospital letter no **ಕಿಃಜೆಆ:ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ/31/2024–25 ದಿನಾಂಕ 14.02.2025** emphasised about the required development of new hospital infrastructure at KC General Hospital. They stated that the current infrastructure is over 60 years old, posing significant challenges including inadequate space, outdated infrastructure and safety concerns.

The proposed development aims to address the issues by constructing new buildings for :

- DNB Teaching Center, equipped with modern educational facilities and technology
- Mother and Child Health Care Center, offering comprehensive and specialized care for mothers and children
- Kitchen and laundry facility, ensuring food safety and hygiene
- Bio-medical Waste Management facility, adhering to international standards for waste disposal
- Mortuary facility, providing dignified and respectful care for the deceased

These new buildings will enhance medical education, improve patient care, ensure compliance with modern safety standards and increase efficiency in support services. The project will also address critical community needs, including:

- Providing specialized healthcare facilities for mothers and children
- Reducing overcrowding and improving patient safety
- Stimulating local economic activity and creating employment opportunities
- Implementing environmental mitigation measures, including a replantation program

The justification for this project is multifaceted:

1. Safety and Habitability Concerns: The existing residential block is unsafe and unfit for use, necessitating demolition and replacement with a modern residential block.

2. Critical Need for a Mother and Children Hospital: There is notable lack of specialized healthcare facilities in the area, leaving a large segment of the population without immediate access to necessary services.

3. Enhancement of Healthcare Services: The proposed construction will incorporate state-of-the-art medical technology and modern design, ensuring that the hospital remains at the forefront of patient care.

4. Public Health and Safety: Upgrading the infrastructure is imperative for reducing overcrowding, improving patient safety and enhancing the effectiveness of treatment protocols.

5. Economic and Social Benefits: The new construction will generate long-term benefits for the community, including employment opportunities, stimulated local economic activity and reduced environmental stress on transportation systems.

The Hospital Authorities expressed that they understand the importance of preserving natural resources and are committed to sustainable development. However, in this instance, the controlled and necessary tree cutting is a critical step towards improving public health, safety, and community welfare. They reiterated that the benefits of the proposed project far outweigh the temporary loss of trees, especially given the measures planned to mitigate environmental impact.

The Public Notice dated 13.11.2024 was issued by the Tree Officer & DCF, Bruhat Bengaluru Mahanagara Palike as per Section 8 (3) of the Karnataka Preservation of Trees Act 1976 (as amended in 2015) with the intention to invite objections/remarks from public.

In this context, the Tree Officer has confirmed that no objection/post has been received from the public in response to the said public notice. Further, the Tree Officer/DCF, BBMP also emphasized that the first priority of the Forest authorities will be to save and retain more number of trees at the spot/site itself and in case that is not possible, the next option would be translocation of such trees which fulfill the desired criteria like having suitable girth, satisfactory status/health condition of the tree, feasibility of root-ball excavation of appropriate size. Subsequently the felling of the trees has to be last resort. The Compensatory Afforestation is also stipulated through planting of saplings in the ration 1:10 i.e., 10 saplings to be planted in lieu of each tree translocated/felled (i.e., in the ratio 1:10).

The concerned Field Forest Officer has carried out inspections on 04.11.2024 and submitted the connected Mahazar and Report related to 103 trees. The ACF/DCF visited the areas on 14.11.2024 and had submitted the preliminary Assessment Report related to 103 trees. The field inspection for assessment of 103 trees was carried out diligently by the TEC on 03.12.2024. The concerned Representatives/Authorities of KC General Hospital and Forest Officers of BBMP were present at the project area with all necessary documents.

During the field inspection on 04.11.2024, 01 additional tree was found standing within the project area. Therefore all the above said (103 + 01) = 104 standing trees at the project area were assessed. The Committee followed the norms of conducting field inspection.

At the Project Area, during the course of Field Inspections, the following activities were carried out by the TEC for assessment of each tree.

i. Physical verification of the tree number and the associated information collected by the Forest Department Officers in Template 2 Part-I, including tree health / tree defects and general assessment as per provision under Section 8 (3) of the KPT Act, 1976.

ii. Confirmation regarding those trees being inside the project area and standing at the construction activity sites/spots.

iii. Review of assessment of trees as per the entries made by the Tree Officer in Template 2 Part-II.

iv. Discussions with the KC General Hospital Authorities to explore possibility of carrying out the construction activities without removal of trees and identification of such trees which can be retained-on-site as this is considered as first priority.

v. Assessment of the general conditions of the trees to decide the feasibility of its translocation/transplantation in case of retention-on-site not possible, as that being the next option.

vi. Recording of TEC's remarks and recommendations for on-site retention/translocation/ felling of trees.

The TEC had thorough discussions with the KC General Hospital authorities regarding execution and construction activities without removal of trees and identifying the trees which can be retained-on-site with respect to alignment, design and plan. As per field inspection, out of the total 104 trees; 10 trees standing at the premises of KC General Hospital of the project area have been identified for retention-on-site as they are not getting affected by the development activities.

Therefore as verified during the field inspection, the remaining 94 trees (93 Enumerated + 01 Additionally Numbered) will have to be suggested either for translocation or felling as they are standing within the proposed following physical features of the Project as per KC General Hospital Letter No **ಕೆಜಿಜಿಆ:ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ/31/2024–25 ದಿನಾಂಕ 14.02.2025**.

Sl. No.	**Physical features**	**Tree Nos**	**Location**
1.	Construction of MCH Block	*a) Tree No. 8 to Tree No. 30 = 23 Nos* *b) Tree No. 40 to Tree No. 63 = 24 Nos* *c) Tree Nos 01, 31, 32, 33, 34 & 35 = 06 Nos* *I Sub-total (a + b + c) = 53 Nos.*	Project Area at the premises of KC General Hospital, Malleshwaram, Bengaluru.

2.	Construction of Kitchen, Laundry, Bio-Medical Waste	*a) Tree No 64 to Tree No. 76 = 13 Nos* *II Sub-total = 13 Nos*	
3.	Construction of Mortuary Block	*a) Tree No 77 to Tree No. 82, = 06 Nos* *III Sub-total = 06 Nos*	
4.	Main Gate and Entrance Road	*a) Tree No 83 to Tree No. 103 and Tree No. UN 01 (one additionally numbered trees) = 022 Nos* *IV Sub-total = 22 Nos*	
	Grand Total = Total I + II + III + IV = 94 trees		

Since these 94 trees are standing right in the construction zone and will be hindering the project activities, their removal becomes inevitable.

2. The next option considered by the TEC in case of those trees which could not be retained-on-site was translocation.

Having concluded that the retention of the above mentioned 94 trees are not possible, the TEC chose the next option of translocation of trees and assessed the suitability of each of these trees. In doing so, the TEC considered the following conditions, in addition to verification of the tree health / tree defects, etc..

i. Proximity of tree to building structures, trunks proximity to the cement / concrete or tarred surface so as to examine the feasibility of extraction of root-ball of appropriate size;

ii. The natural characteristics and aspects of species viz., ecologically and economically important species; species that could provide food (nectar, pollen, seeds and fruits) and nesting sources (materials and site) to various fauna.

iii. The trees having below mentioned characteristics do not qualify for translocation.

Trees having multi-forked trunk, major wounds on the trunk, debarking, physical damage on the bark, scar due to fire, damage (girdling), rotting due to fungal infection (fruiting bodies of fungus, rotten core, hollowness) or pest infestation (presence of holes and frass as evidence of insect infestation), and dead / dried major branches, etc..

Taking into consideration the above mentioned assessment attributes, the TEC found that 01 tree at the said area are suitable for translocation.

Ultimately, the remaining 93 trees (92 Enumerated + 01 Additionally Numbered) standing at the existing within the project area, which were not found to be suitable either for retention on-site or for translocation, will have to be removed/felled as a last resort.

Having completed the above assessment of trees at the project area, the Committee also inspected the location/area which was identified by the Authorities of the KC General Hospital for translocation of trees and recommended by the Tree Officer/DCF, BBMP as proposed area for translocation of trees.

Location Site – Vacant space near the proposed Bio-Medical Waste Building at the premises of KC General Hospital, Malleshwaram, Bengaluru

3. The Tree Officer has stated that letter no **ಕೆಸಜೆಆ:ಅಭವೃದ್ಧಿ/31/2024–25 ದಿನಾಂಕ 14.02.2025** issued by the Medical Director, KC General Hospital in which they have furnished the required particulars of the said translocation area identified besides mentioning the Specific Receptor Sites Coordinates for the 01 tree to be translocated.

The TEC deliberated and concurred with the recommendations of the Tree Officer and DCF, BBMP regarding the tree translocation details including specific receptor sites coordinates.

The TEC opined that translocation of trees can be done in the proposed receptor sites in accordance with the advice and procedure as rendered by UAS, Bangalore.

The TEC carried out a thorough and multipronged scrutiny of all the 104 trees to make its recommendations regarding:

a) Trees which could be saved by retaining on-site as it is;

b) Trees which should be translocated depending upon their general condition as assessed and ecological importance, in the event of (a) above not being possible;

c) Trees recommended for removal in the event of (a) and (b) not being possible including the trees which are silviculturally matured, softwood trees and trees suffering from defects /damages.

ORDER

Under the circumstances explained above and in exercise of the powers vested with the undersigned as per Section 8 (3) of Karnataka Preservation of Trees Act, 1976 and based on

the guidelines and decisions taken as per the Field Inspection and proceedings of the Meeting dated 17.12.2024 of the TEC for retention-on-site, translocation, and removal of trees which are standing at the Campus of KC General Hospital, Bengaluru for its Project. The below mentioned schedule is approved subject to the conditions mentioned thereon. This Order will come into effect after fifteen (15) days from the date of uploading of the order on the Official website of BBMP and for that purpose separate directions will be issued from this Office.

SCHEDULE

1. The Ten (10) trees which are listed with remarks, enclosed to this Official Memorandum as Annexure A can be retained-on-site. Hence permission is declined to remove the above said 10 trees and they should continue to stand at their present locations.

2. Based on the considerations, the One (01) trees which are listed with remarks, enclosed to this Official Memorandum as Annexure B have to be translocated. Hence permission is accorded to translocate the said 01 tree to suitable places as mentioned below in the 'Conditions'.

3. The remaining Ninety Three (93) trees which are listed with remarks, enclosed to this Official Memorandum as Annexure C can be removed/felled. Hence permission is accorded for removal of the said 93 trees only as per the felling of trees norms adopted by Karnataka Forest Department (KFD).

Conditions

1. No damage should be caused to the trees which are retained on the spot, while carrying out the civil works or any project related works.
2. The trees which are retained-on-site have to be properly protected and maintained. Accordingly KC General Hospital Authorities should give an assurance in this respect.
3. The translocation of trees should be done at the following proposed locations in collaboration with the DCF, BBMP.

   Location Site – *Vacant space near the proposed Bio-Medical Waste Building at the premises of KC General Hospital, Malleshwaram, Bengaluru*

4. The Persons/Agencies who are entrusted with translocation works should have sufficient knowledge and experience in such works.

5. The work of translocation of trees has to be executed under close supervision of Officials/Officers of Forest Wing of BBMP and according to the formulated guidelines of UAS, Bengaluru.
6. Any objections against the above Order of the Tree Officer, BBMP under Section 14 of the KPT Act 1976, an appeal can be made to the Tree Authority, Bengaluru.
7. The trees so translocated have to be properly maintained and taken care of, for a minimum period of three years.
8. The entire process of translocation of trees has to be properly documented and records compiled in a systematic manner.
9. As per the Section 10 of KPT Act 1976, which provides that where any tree has fallen or destroyed due to force of nature or other natural causes, requires to plant a tree or trees in place of the tree so fallen or destroyed.
10. In lieu of the trees translocated and felled, 10 healthy and heighted saplings have to be planted in lieu of each tree either translocated or felled. The saplings have to be planted as per forestry practices and maintained for a minimum period of five years. Photographs and proper documentation has to be submitted for saplings/seedlings planted.
11. Regular monitoring must be done to ensure the conducive growth of translocated trees and planted saplings/seedlings.

Tree Officer and
Deputy Conservator of Forests
Bruhat Bengaluru Mahanagara Palike,
Bengaluru

Copy to:

1. The Chairman, Tree Authority and Chief Conservator of Forests, Bangalore Circle, Bangalore for kind information
2. The Member Secretary – Tree Expect Committee, and the Assistant Conservator of Forests, BBMP for information and further action.
3. The Assistant Conservator of Forests, BBMP for information and further action
4. The Range Forest Officers/Deputy Range Forest Officers for information and further action
5. Office Copy

Annexure –A

List of trees to be Retained

Sl. No.	Tree No	Type of Tree	Girth	Tree Height	Remarks
1	2 2A	Peltophorum	1.05 1.05	6.00	Tree is standing on the edge of the proposed area, hence recommended for retention on the site.
2	3	Tabubia argentia	1.15	8.00	Tree is standing on the edge of the proposed area, hence recommended for retention on the site.
3	4	Atti	2.25	12.00	Tree is standing on the edge of the proposed area, hence recommended for retention on the site.
4	5 5A	Honge	1.05 1.00	8.00 8.00	Tree is standing on the edge of the proposed area, hence recommended for retention on the site.
5	6	Alstonia scholaris	1.30	10.00	Tree is standing on the edge of the proposed area, hence recommended for retention on the site.
6	7	Alstonia scholaris	1.35	10.00	Tree is standing on the edge of the proposed area, hence recommended for retention on the site.
7	36 36A	Honge	0.45 0.30	4.00 4.00	Tree is standing on the edge of the proposed area, hence recommended for retention on the site.
8	37	Chrismas tree	0.75	8.00	Tree is standing on the edge of the proposed area, hence recommended for retention on the site.
9	38	Jackfruit	0.20	4.00	Tree is standing on the edge of the proposed area, hence recommended for retention on the site.
10	39	Chrismas tree	0.55	8.00	Tree is standing on the edge of the proposed area, hence recommended for retention on the site.
TOTAL NUMBER OF TREES FOR RETENTION-ON-SITE = 10 Nos.					

Tree Officer &
Deputy Conservator of Forests
BBMP, Bangalore

Annexure-B

List of Translocation of Tree

Sl. No	Tree No	Type of Tree	Girth	Tree Height	Remarks
01	31	Honge	0.30	4.00	Tree is standing in proposed building excavation line, and is young and healthy. Hence recommended for transplantation.
Total tree suitable for Translocation = 01 No					

Tree Officer &
Deputy Conservator of Forests
BBMP, Bangalore

Annexure-C

List of trees for Removal/Felling

Sl. No	Tree No	Type of Tree	Girth (in Mtr)	Height (in Mtr)	Remarks
1.	1	Paper mulberry	0.75	8.00	Tree is standing in the proposed area, hard wood species not possible for transplantation, hence recommended for felling
2.	8	Ashoka	1.20	8.00	Tree is standing in building porche portion, deep rooted system, recommended for felling
3.	9	Dalichand	1.00	10.00	Tree is standing in building porche portico portion, deep rooted system, recommended for felling
4.	10 10A	Dalichand	1.20 0.30	10.00 6.00	Tree is standing in building porche portion, deep rooted system, recommended for felling
5.	11 11 A 11 B	Paper mulberry	0.70 0.50 0.45	8.00 8.00 8.00	Tree is forked standing in building porche portico portion, recommended for felling
6.	12	Ashoka	1.05	8.00	Tree is standing in building porche portion, deep rooted system, recommended for felling
7.	13	Peltophorum	2.30	12.00	Matured tree is standing in proposed road ramp area, recommended for felling
8.	14 14A	Honge	0.45 0.40	6.00	Tree is forked standing in building porche portion, recommended for felling
9.	15	Ashoka	0.50	6.00	Tree is standing in ramp area, deep rooted system, recommended for felling
10.	16	Dalichand	1.10	6.00	Tree is standing in ramp area, bended, recommended for felling
11.	17 17A 17B	Honge	0.50 0.40 0.30	6.00 6.00 6.00	Tree is forked standing in ramp area, recommended for felling
12.	18 18A	Honge	0.55 0.40	6.00 6.00	Tree is forked standing in ramp area, deep rooted system, recommended for felling
13.	19 19A 19B	Honge	0.45 0.40 0.30	6.00 6.00 6.00	Tree is forked standing in ramp area, recommended for felling
14.	20 20A	Honge	0.45 0.28	6.00 6.00	Tree is forked standing in building area, recommended for felling
15.	21 21A	Honge	0.55 0.50	8.00 8.00	Tree is forked standing in building area, recommended for felling
16.	22	Ashoka	0.60	8.00	Tree is standing in building area, deep rooted system, recommended for felling
17.	23 23 A 23 B 23 C	Dalichand	0.65 0.6 0.30 0.30	6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00	Tree is forked standing in ramp area, recommended for felling
18.	24	Ashoka	1.00	10.00	Tree is standing ramp area, deep rooted system, recommended for felling

19.	25	Ashoka	1.10	12.00	Tree is standing in building proche area, deep rooted system, recommended for felling
20.	26	Ashoka	0.75	10.00	Tree is standing in building proche area, deep rooted system, recommended for felling
21.	27 27A	Mango	0.75 0.45	8.00 8.00	Forked Tree standing in building proche area, recommended for felling
22.	28 28 A 28 B	Dalichand	0.80 0.45 0.30	8.00 8.00 8.00	Forked Tree standing in building proche area, recommended for felling
23.	29	Ashoka	1.05	10.00	Tree is standing in building proche area, deep rooted system, recommended for felling
24.	30	Ashoka	1.30	12.00	Tree is standing in building proche area, deep rooted system, recommended for felling
25.	32	Dalichand	1.40	10.00	Tree is standing within the proposed building area, bended. Recommended for felling
26.	33	Honge	0.60	6.00	Tree is standing within the proposed building area, bended. Recommended for felling
27.	34	Ashoka	1.05	8.00	Tree is standing in building excavation line proche area, deep rooted system, recommended for felling.
28.	35	Ashoka	0.85	8.00	Tree is standing in building excavation line proche area, deep rooted system, recommended for felling.
29.	40	Honge	1.15	4.00 4.00	Tree is standing in proposed building area, bended. Recommended for felling
30.	41 41A	Mango	0.50 0.25	8.00	Tree is forked standing in proposed building area, bended. Recommended for felling
31.	42	Christmas tree	0.40	4.00	Tree is standing in proposed building area, bended. Recommended for felling
32.	43	Paper mulberry	0.75	8.00	Tree is standing in proposed building area, bended. Recommended for felling
33.	44	Jackfruit	0.42	8.00	Tree is standing in proposed building area, base is damaged. Recommended for felling
34.	45	Honge	0.70	5.00 5.00	Tree is standing in proposed building area, bended. Recommended for felling
35.	46 46A	Honge	1.05 1.05	8.00	Tree is forked standing in proposed building area, bended. Recommended for felling
36.	47	Honge	0.30	10.00	Tree is forked standing in proposed building area, bended. Recommended for felling
37.	48	Paper mulberry	0.30	5.00	Tree is standing in proposed raft foundation, hard wood species. Recommended for felling.
38.	49	Paper mulberry	1.05	8.00	Tree is standing in proposed raft foundation, hard wood species. Recommended for felling.
39.	50 50 A	Paper mulberry	0.30 0.65	8.00 8.00	Tree is standing in proposed raft foundation, hard wood species. Recommended for felling.
40.	51	Paper mulberry	0.45	4.00	Tree is standing in proposed raft foundation, hard wood species. Recommended for felling.
41.	52	Honge	0.80	10.00	Tree is standing in proposed raft foundation, hard wood species. Recommended for felling.
42.	53 53 A	Paper mulberry	0.80 0.40	10.00	Tree is forked standing in proposed building area, hard wood species. Recommended for felling.

43.	54	Paper mulberry	1.05	10.00 10.00	Tree is standing in proposed building area, hard wood species. Recommended for felling.
44.	55 55A 55B	Paper mulberry	1.05 1.00 0.75	10.00	Tree is forked standing in proposed raft foundation area, hard wood species. Recommended for felling.
45.	56	Paper mulberry	0.65	8.00	Tree is standing in proposed raft foundation area, hard wood species. Recommended for felling.
46.	57	Paper mulberry	0.45	10.00 10.00	Tree is standing in proposed raft foundation area, hard wood species. Recommended for felling.
47.	58	Paper mulberry	1.00	10.00	Tree is standing in proposed raft foundation area, hard wood species. Recommended for felling.
48.	59 59A	Paper mulberry	1.20 0.60	10.00 8.00 6.00	Tree is standing in proposed raft foundation area, hard wood species. Recommended for felling.
49.	60	Paper mulberry	1.00	10.00	Tree is forked standing in proposed raft foundation area, hard wood species. Recommended for felling.
50.	61	Paper mulberry	0.50	10.00	Tree is forked standing in proposed raft foundation area, hard wood species. Recommended for felling.
51.	62	Paper mulberry	0.85	10.00	Tree is forked standing in proposed raft foundation area, hard wood species. Recommended for felling.
52.	63 63 A 63 B	Paper mulberry	1.00 0.50 0.40	10.00 10.00	Tree is forked standing in proposed raft foundation area, hard wood species. Recommended for felling.
53.	64	Honge	0.30	10.00	Tree is standing in entry place to kitchen construction area, one branch cut already, not healthy, recommended for felling.
54.	65	Coconut	1.00	5.00	Tree is standing in kitchen construction area, bended recommended for felling.
55.	66	Nerale	1.35	8.00	Tree is standing in kitchen construction area, bended recommended for felling.
56.	67	Aathi	2.80	10.00 10.00 10.00	Tree is standing in kitchen construction excavation area, bended recommended for felling.
57.	68 68 A	Spathodea	1.10 0.45	3.00	Tree is forked fallen down due to mechanical damage during old building demolition. Recommended for felling.
58.	69	Sihi hunase	0.50	10.00	Tree is bended due to mechanical damage. Recommended for felling
59.	70	Sihi hunase	1.10	12.00	Tree is standing within the construction area, hard wood species. Recommended for felling.
60.	71 71A	Paper mulberry	1.10 0.50	12.00	Tree is forked and standing in proposed building excavation line, recommended for felling
61.	72	Coconut	1.10	10.00 08.00	Tree is standing within the construction area, recommended for felling
62.	73	Coconut	1.15	10.00	Tree is bended standing within the construction area, recommended for felling
63.	74	Mango	0.60	10.00	Tree is standing within the construction area, recommended for felling

64.	75	Jackfruit	0.80	10.00 .00	Tree is standing in proposed building construction area, bark damaged. Recommended for felling
65.	76	Mango	1.20	8.00	Tree is standing in proposed building construction area, Recommended for felling
66.	77	Mango	0.80	12.00	Tree is standing in proposed approach road construction area, Recommended for felling
67.	78	Rain tree	2.20	6.00	Tree is matured standing in proposed approach road area , recommended for felling
68.	79	Ashoka	0.40	6.00	Tree is standing in proposed approach road area, deep rooted tree. Recommended for felling
69.	80	Ashoka	0.40	8.00	Tree is standing in proposed approach road area, deep rooted tree. Recommended for felling
70.	81	Ashoka	0.50	8.00	Tree is standing in proposed approach road area, deep rooted tree. Recommended for felling
71.	82	Ashoka	0.50	10.00	Tree is standing in proposed approach road area, deep rooted tree. Recommended for felling
72.	83	Ashoka	1.20	4.00	Tree is standing in proposed entrance exit road expansion area, deep rooted tree. Recommended for felling
73.	84	Ashoka	1.20	6.00	Tree is standing in proposed entrance exit road expansion area, deep rooted tree. Recommended for felling
74.	85	Ashoka	1.27	6.00	Tree is standing in proposed entrance exit road expansion area, deep rooted tree. Recommended for felling
75.	86	Ashoka	1.15	6.00	Tree is standing in proposed entrance exit road expansion area, deep rooted tree. Recommended for felling
76.	87	Ashoka	1.10	12.00	Tree is standing in proposed entrance exit road expansion area, deep rooted tree. Recommended for felling
77.	88	Ashoka	1.10	12.00	Tree is standing in proposed entrance exit road expansion area, deep rooted tree. Recommended for felling
78.	89	Ashoka	1.05	12.00	Tree is standing in proposed entrance exit road expansion area, deep rooted tree. Recommended for felling
79.	90	Ashoka	1.05	12.00	Tree is standing in proposed entrance exit road expansion area, deep rooted tree. Recommended for felling
80.	91	Ashoka	1.00	12.00	Tree is standing in proposed entrance exit road expansion area, deep rooted tree. Recommended for felling
81.	92	Ashoka	1.05	12.00	Tree is standing in proposed entrance exit road expansion area, deep rooted tree. Recommended for felling
82.	93	Ashoka	1.00	12.00	Tree is standing in proposed entrance exit road expansion area, deep rooted tree. Recommended for felling
83.	94	Ashoka	1.20	12.00	Tree is standing in proposed entrance exit road expansion area, deep rooted tree. Recommended for felling

84.	95	Ashoka	1.10	12.00	Tree is standing in proposed entrance exit road expansion area, deep rooted tree. Recommended for felling
85.	96	Ashoka	1.15	12.00	Tree is standing in proposed entrance exit road expansion area, deep rooted tree. Recommended for felling
86.	97	Ashoka	1.10	12.00	Tree is standing in proposed entrance exit road expansion area, deep rooted tree. Recommended for felling
87.	98	Ashoka	1.10	12.00	Tree is standing in proposed entrance exit road expansion area, deep rooted tree. Recommended for felling
88.	99	Ashoka	1.05	12.00	Tree is standing in proposed entrance exit road expansion area, deep rooted tree. Recommended for felling
89.	100	Ashoka	1.10	12.00	Tree is standing in proposed entrance exit road expansion area, deep rooted tree. Recommended for felling
90.	101	Ashoka	1.10	12.00	Tree is standing in proposed entrance exit road expansion area, deep rooted tree. Recommended for felling
91.	102	Ashoka	1.15	12.00	Tree is standing in proposed entrance exit road expansion area, deep rooted tree. Recommended for felling
92.	103	Ashoka	1.25	12.00	Tree is standing in proposed entrance exit road expansion area, deep rooted tree. Recommended for felling
93.	UN 01	Coconut	0.70	12.00	Tree is coming in the edge of entrance exit road expansion area, bended towards road side, Recommended for felling
Total number of trees for Removal/Felling = 93 Nos.					

Tree Officer &
Deputy Conservator of Forests
BBMP, Bangalore